

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

W

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandra, Vignia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09/513,396	02/25/2000	Jiong Chen	AIBT-9901	7302
75	90 07/28/2003			
Bo-In Lin			EXAMINER	
13445 Mandoli Drive Los Altos Hills, CA 94022			GURZO, I	PAUL M
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2881	

DATE MAILED: 07/28/2003

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			_	
		Application No.	Applicant(s)	
		09/513,396	CHEN ET AL.	
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
•.	*	Paul Gurzo	2881	
	The MAILING DATE of this communi	ication appears on the cover sheet w	ith the correspondence address	
Period fo	• •		AONTH (C) EDOM	
THE - Exte after - If the - If NO - Failu - Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOMALLING DATE OF THIS COMMUNION consists of time may be available under the provisions SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this commet period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) period for reply is specified above, the maximum stare to reply within the set or extended period for reply reply received by the Office later than three months are departed term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	CATION. of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a unication.)) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thi tutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MOI will. by statute. cause the application to become A	reply be timely filed ty (30) days will be considered timely. NTHS from the mailing date of this communication. BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
1)	Responsive to communication(s) file	ed on <u>30 June 2003</u> .		
2a)□	,	2b)⊠ This action is non-final.		
3)	Since this application is in condition	for allowance except for formal ma	atters, prosecution as to the merits is	
Disposit	closed in accordance with the pract ion of Claims	ice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.	D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.	
4)⊠	Claim(s) 1-20 is/are pending in the a	application.		
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/ar	re withdrawn from consideration.		
5)	Claim(s) is/are allowed.			
6)⊠	Claim(s) <u>1-20</u> is/are rejected.			
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.			
8)[Claim(s) are subject to restrict	tion and/or election requirement.		
	ion Papers			
•	The specification is objected to by the			
10)⊠	The drawing(s) filed on 25 February 2			
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).				
11)	The proposed drawing correction filed		disapproved by the Examiner.	
_	If approved, corrected drawings are re-			
,—	The oath or declaration is objected to	by the Examiner.		
-	under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120			
, —	Acknowledgment is made of a claim	for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C.	§ 119(a)-(d) or (f).	
a)) All b) Some * c) None of:			
	- '- '-	documents have been received.		
	-	documents have been received in a		
*	 Copies of the certified copies application from the Internal See the attached detailed Office action 	of the priority documents have been ational Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). In for a list of the certified copies no		
14)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim f	or domestic priority under 35 U.S.C	. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).	
	a) The translation of the foreign lar Acknowledgment is made of a claim	nguage provisional application has	been received.	
Attachme				
2) 🔲 Not	ice of References Cited (PTO-892) ice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (F rmation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) F	PTO-948) 5) Notice o	v Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) f Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	
.S. Patent and	Trademark Office		Dort of Donos No. 40	

Application/Control Number: 09/513,396

Art Unit: 2881

DETAILED ACTION

Drawings

Figure 1 should be designated by a legend such as --Prior Art-- because only that which is old is illustrated. See MPEP § 608.02(g). A proposed drawing correction or corrected drawings are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

The drawings are objected to as failing to comply with 37 CFR 1.84(p)(5) because they include the following reference sign(s) not mentioned in the description: 100. A proposed drawing correction, corrected drawings, or amendment to the specification to add the reference sign(s) in the description, are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

Double Patenting

Claims 1-20 are rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1-20 of U.S. Patent No. 6,489,622. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because both 6,489,622 and the instant application teach a method for performing ion implantation comprising a target chamber, an ion source chamber including an ion source, a beam deceleration optics comprising electrodes right in front of the target, a vertically spread beam, an analyzer magnet, and the appropriate beam-width ratio, energy level, and degrees of projection direction of the neutralized particles.

The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or

Application/Control Number: 09/513,396

Art Unit: 2881

improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. See *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970); and, *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.130(b).

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

Claims 1-20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as containing subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventor(s), at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention. Applicant teaches in the Preliminary Amendment C that the terms --right in front of-- and --at the exit of-- are "clearly shown" in Figs. 2 and 3 (page 17, lines 1-4). However, Fig. 3a and 3b clearly show a neutral beam stopper (155) that is disposed

Application/Control Number: 09/513,396

Art Unit: 2881

between the target and the beam deceleration optics. It is not clear from the figures what the definition of the term --right in front of-- is because Fig. 2 clearly shows nothing between the deceleration optics and target, and Fig. 3a and 3b clearly show a neutral beam stopper (155) that is disposed between the target and the beam deceleration optics.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Paul Gurzo whose telephone number is (703) 306-0532. The examiner can normally be reached on M-Thurs. 7:30 - 6:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, John Lee can be reached on (703) 308-4116. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703) 872-9318 for regular communications and (703) 872-9319 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0956.

PMG July 15, 2003

JOHN R. LEE SUPERMISORY PATENT EX

TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2800